To: Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]; Johnson, AudreyL[Johnson.AudreyL@epa.gov];

Montgomery, Michael[Montgomery.Michael@epa.gov]

Cc: Zito, Kelly[ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV]

From: Mogharabi, Nahal

**Sent:** Fri 10/3/2014 10:12:22 PM

Subject: RE: Response to Calif.'s initial finding UIC wells contain no oil waste contamination?

Great, thanks David.

I'll send him our brief statement.

From: Albright, David

Sent: Friday, October 03, 2014 2:29 PM

To: Mogharabi, Nahal; Johnson, AudreyL; Montgomery, Michael

Cc: Zito, Kelly

Subject: Re: Response to Calif.'s initial finding UIC wells contain no oil waste contamination?

That is still the stance I believe. The data/results we received came from State Bd, so they could speak to the specifics. David

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

From: Mogharabi, Nahal

Sent: Friday, October 3, 2014 1:53 PM

To: Johnson, AudreyL; Montgomery, Michael; Albright, David

Cc: Zito, Kelly

Subject: FW: Response to Calif.'s initial finding UIC wells contain no oil waste contamination?

Please see the below from the Bakersfield Californian. He's looking for a comment on the preliminary findings.

It's my understanding that our stance is: "EPA is still awaiting additional information and documents and will determine next steps once all requested information has been received."
Please let me know if there is something else we can say on the below
Thanks,
Nahal
From: John Cox [mailto:jcox@bakersfield.com] Sent: Friday, October 03, 2014 1:22 PM To: Mogharabi, Nahal Subject: Response to Calif.'s initial finding UIC wells contain no oil waste contamination?
Good afternoon,
I'm writing a story this afternoon for Sunday's edition of The Bakersfield Californian about preliminary findings, reported to USEPA in a letter Sept. 15, that eight water wells located within one mile of UIC Class II disposal wells appear not to have contaminated by oil and gas waste materials.
Though preliminary, these are the first publicly released well tests we've seen. They appear to support the industry's claims such work poses no threat to groundwater. What would be the federal EPA's take on those initial results?
Thank you,